

The notion of moment map
for families of symplectomorphisms

①

Moment maps.

Review

$$(M, \omega) \quad f \in \text{Symplecto}(M)$$

f is Hamiltonian $\Rightarrow \exists$ an isotopy

$$f_t \in \text{Symplecto}(M) \quad f_0 = \text{id}, \quad f_1 = f$$

such that the vector field

$$v_t = f_t^{-1} \frac{df_t}{dt}$$

is Hamiltonian

(2)

Thm: $\text{Ham}(M)$ is a normal
subgroup of $\text{Symplecto}(M)$

The classical notion of moment map:

G a Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$

$\mathcal{M}: G \longrightarrow \text{Ham}(M)$

$d\mathcal{M}: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Ham. vector fields}$

(3)

$$d\mathcal{P}(v) = v_M \iff \mathcal{L}(v_M)\omega = d\mathcal{Q}^v$$

Remark: \mathcal{Q}^v is defined up to an

additive constant. One needs some

intrinsic way of fixing this constant.

The moment map

$$\mathbb{I} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

$$\langle \mathbb{I}, v \rangle = \mathcal{Q}^v$$

④

What happens if we replace G
by a manifold S and \mathcal{P} by a map

$$\mathcal{P} : S \rightarrow \text{Ham}(M)$$

Claim

1) One can still associate a moment
map to \mathcal{P}

2) This map is interesting

⑤

The symplectic "category" :

The objects in this category :

symplectic manifolds

The morphisms : canonical relations

i.e Given objects M_1, M_2 , a morphism

$$\Gamma: M_1 \Rightarrow M_2$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold of $M_1^- \times M_2$

②

Composition of morphisms :

$$\Gamma_1 : M_1 \Rightarrow M_2$$

and

$$\Gamma_2 : M_2 \Rightarrow M_3$$

compose to give

$$\Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1 : M_1 \Rightarrow M_3$$

where $(m_1, m_3) \in \Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1 \Leftrightarrow \exists m_2 \in M_2$ s.t.

for $i = 1, 2$ $(m_i, m_{i+1}) \in \Gamma_i$

⑦

Theorem: Modulo clean intersection hypotheses, $\Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1$ is a canonical relation

Remark Γ_1 and Γ_2 might not

satisfy these hypotheses (in which case

we can't compose them.) Thus

"symp" is not a category but just
a "category" in quotation marks.

⑧

Comments

1. "symp" is a pointed category:

it contains a "point object", pt :

the unique connected zero dimensional

symplectic manifold, pt .

9

2. Let M be an object in the

category "symp". The "points"

of M are its "categorical points",

i.e. a "point" of M is a morphism

$$\Gamma: \text{pt} \Rightarrow M,$$

in other words, a Lagrangian

submanifold of $\text{pt} \times M = M$.

10

3. Thus, modulo clean intersection

hypotheses, a morphism, $\Gamma: M_1 \Rightarrow M_2$,

maps "points" of M_1 to "points" of M_2 .

4. From $\Gamma: M_1 \Rightarrow M_2$ one gets

a transpose morphism

$$\Gamma^t: M_2 \Rightarrow M_1$$

where $(m_2, m_1) \in \Gamma^t$ iff $(m_1, m_2) \in \Gamma$

④

5. For more about "symp" see

Weinstein, Bull. AMS (1981)

6. There is a linear version of "symp"

in which objects are symplectic vector

spaces and morphisms are linear canonical

relations. (This is, in fact, a category:

no quotation marks.) See

Gu.- Sternberg, AMJ (1979)

(12)

Back to moment maps:

Via the trivialization $T^*G = \mathfrak{g}^* \times G$

$\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ extends to a map

$$\underline{\Phi}: M \times G \rightarrow T^*G$$

Let $\Gamma_{\underline{\Phi}} \subseteq M \times M \times T^*G$ be

the set of all triples (m_1, m_2, α) with

$$m_2 = \rho_g m_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \underline{\Phi}(m_1, g)$$

(13)

Theorem (Weinstein) Γ_{Φ} is a
canonical relation, i.e. a morphism.

$$\Gamma_{\Phi} : M \times M \Rightarrow T^*G$$

Recall: From Γ_{Φ} one gets a map

of "points" of $M \times M$ to "points"

of T^*G and from Γ_{Φ}^{\pm} a map of

"points" of T^*G to "points" of $M \times M$.

Example 1 : Δ_M in $M \times M$ corresponds
 to a Lagrangian manifold, $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$, in T^*G
 the character Lagrangian. For M

compact and G a torus, $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the union

$$\bigcup (G_i \times \Delta_i) \subseteq G \times \mathfrak{g}^* = T^*G$$

where the Δ_i 's are the faces of the

moment polytope and the G_i 's their stabilizers

(15)

Example 2: $G \times \{0\}$ in T^*G corresponds to

a Lagrangian manifold, Γ_G , in $M^* \times M$,

i.e. a morphism

$$\Gamma_G : M \Rightarrow M$$

Here $\Gamma_G = \Gamma_{\text{red}}^t \circ \Gamma_{\text{red}}$

where $\Gamma_{\text{red}} : M \Rightarrow M_{\text{red}}$ is the

canonical relation:

$$\{ (m_1, m_2) ; m_1 \in \mathbb{P}(0), m_2 = \pi_{\text{red}}(m_1) \}$$

(16)

Generalizations

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \text{Ham}(M)$$

For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $w \in T_s \mathcal{S}$ let $\gamma(t)$

be a curve with $\gamma(0) = s$ and $\frac{d}{dt} \gamma(0) = w$

Let $f_t = \mathcal{P}(\gamma(t))$ and let

$$\mathcal{V}_{s,w} = \left(f_t^{-1} \circ \frac{df_t}{dt} \right)_{t=0}$$

Then:

(17)

$$\tau(\omega_{S,W}) \omega = d\alpha_{S,W}$$

and we define

$$\Phi: M \times S \longrightarrow T^*S$$

by setting

$$\Phi(m, s) = \xi^s \in T_s^*$$

where $\langle \xi^s, w \rangle = \alpha_{S,W}(m)$

(18)

Remark: As before $Q_{S,W}$ is only defined up to an additive constant and one needs some intrinsic way of fixing this constant

Now let $\Gamma_{\Phi} \subseteq M \times M^{-1} \times T^*S$ be the set of all triples (m_1, m_2, u) with

$$m_2 = \mathcal{P}_S(m_1) \quad \text{and} \quad u = \Phi(m_1, S)$$

(17)

In the group case $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a
canonical relation. Is this true
here?

No. However, modulo the

vanishing of a homotopy obstruction

\mathbb{Z} can be modified to make this

true.

(20)

Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be the symplectic form

on $M \times M^{-1} \times T^*S$ and let

$$K: M \times S \rightarrow M \times M^{-1} \times T^*S$$

be the imbedding,

$$(m, s) \rightarrow (m, \tau_s m, \mathbb{I}(m, s))$$

mapping $M \times S$ onto $\Gamma_{\mathbb{I}}$

(2)

Let π_S be the projection: $M \times S \rightarrow S$.

Theorem There exist a two-form,

$\omega \in \Omega^2(S)$ such that

$$K^* \tilde{\omega} = \pi^* \omega$$

Remark $[\omega] \in H^2(S, \mathbb{R})$ is a

homotopy invariant of $\mathcal{T}: S \rightarrow \text{Ham}(M)$

22

Suppose $[u] = 0$ i.e. $u = d\alpha$.

Modify \mathbb{F} by setting

$$\mathbb{F}_{\text{new}}(p, s) = \mathbb{F}_{\text{old}}(p, s) + \gamma_s$$

Then for this modified \mathbb{F} , $\Gamma_{\mathbb{F}}$

is a Lagrangian submanifold of

$$M \times M^{-} \times T^*S$$

Then Γ_{Φ} is a morphism:

$$\Gamma_{\Phi}: M^* \times M \Rightarrow T^*S$$

and maps "points" of $M^* \times M$

to "points" of T^*S . Moreover,

Γ_{Φ}^t maps "points" of T^*S to

"points" of $M^* \times M$

What is the analogue in this setting of the character Lagrangian? i.e. the Lagrangian manifold, Δ_{Φ} in T^*S corresponding to Δ_M ?

Suppose F is in $\text{Ham}(M)$:

$$F: M \rightarrow M$$

\tilde{M} = the mapping torus of F

Theorem Modulo hypothesis on M, F

\tilde{M} is a contact manifold and F

induces on \tilde{M} a contact flow.

Remarks

1. This is a symplectic version of the standard "mapping torus" construction of Smale.

2. Just as in the standard mapping

torus construction a fixed point

p of f corresponds to a period

trajectory of the flow on \tilde{M} .

(27)

3. We'll denote by T_p the period of this trajectory

Now suppose that the map,

$$M \times S \rightarrow M \times M, (m, s) \rightarrow (m, \gamma_s m)$$

is proper and transverse to Δ_M

(8)

By Thom transversality

graph $\gamma_s \pitchfork \Delta_M$

for $s \in S_0$: an open dense set.

Let

$p_{i,s}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$

be the fixed points of γ_s and let

$$\psi_i(s) = T_{p_{i,s}}$$

(29)

N.B. $\psi_i \in C^\infty(S_0)$

Theorem $\Lambda_{\Phi} \cap T^*S_0$ is the

union of the Lagrangian manifolds

$$\Lambda_{\psi_u} = \left\{ (s, \xi) ; s \in S_0, \xi = (d\psi_i)_s \right\}$$

Concluding remarks

1. The pre-quantum "category".

This category is identical with the

symplectic category except that

each morphism is equipped with a

symbol: a $\frac{1}{2}$ density times a

Maslov factor

(31)

The constructions above work in

the category: In particular

given a Lagrangian manifold in T^*S

one can by Γ_{Φ}^t associate to it

a morphism, $M \Rightarrow M$ and take

the "symbolic trace" of this morphism.

(32)

2. The set $\text{Can}(M)$ of
canonical relations, $\Gamma: M \Rightarrow M$,
is a "group" (under composition of
canonical relations.) A lot of
the results above are true
with $\text{Hom}(M)$ replaced by $\text{Can}(M)$.

3. Let G be a non-compact
Lie group and $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Ham}(M)$
a Hamiltonian action in the usual
sense. Partitioning in G into the sets of
conjugacy classes

(*) - Elliptic, Hyperbolic, Unipotent

etc.

24

Recent results of Weinstein

suggest that M has various

"different kinds" of moment geometry

i.e. there is a moment geometry

attached to the map

$$\nu: S \rightarrow \text{Ham}(M)$$

For each of the sets $(*)$.

3. Let G be a non-compact
Lie group and $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Ham}(M)$
a Hamiltonian action in the usual
sense. Partition in G all the sets of
conjugacy classes

(*) - Elliptic, Hyperbolic, Unipotent

etc.